
Security Scenarios





©2002 Actel Corporation All Rights Reserved. Actel and the Actel logo are trademarks of Actel Corporation. All other brand or product names are the property of their respective owners.

The following security scenarios were developed by a third party expert in the field of security engineering as part of a study funded by Actel.

Introduction

As FPGAs grow in capability through the million-gate mark, they are being used for ever more complex and valuable designs. This trend toward FPGAs raises questions about embedded design security, a subject that is not well understood at this time. Using a number of typical cases from various industries, the following scenarios illustrate some common security problems facing today's designers and possible solutions needed to prevent future infringements.

1. Run-on Counterfeit

Red Sound Incorporated makes MP3 players for sale in the USA and Europe. A household brand, Red Sound subcontracts the manufacture of the devices to Lotus Audio in Bangkok. After producing 250,000 units for Red, Lotus makes an additional 100,000 identical units (including not just Red's design but also their label), which are then sold through grey market importers.

Comment: Run-on fraud is the largest single source of counterfeit goods and in some sectors, the sole source.

Requirement: Red Sound needs a mechanism to stop overproduction. Many different industries have incurred this type of problem. For example, in the cosmetics industry, ingredients and packaging are sourced from multiple suppliers whose identities are kept secret from the contract manufacturer. One strategy is to program in-house and supply only programmed parts to the manufacturers. An alternative method is to use keys (embedded in an auditable quantity of FPGAs – supplied by a vendor or trusted third party) that enable Red Sound to lock (and protect) its bitstream.

2. Data Manipulation

Blue Phone Company is a GSM operator in France, where handsets are subsidized by the service providers and sold for a nominal amount to users, provided the customer signs a one-year service contract. The problem occurs when grey market traders buy phones for resale in countries such as Norway and Singapore where handsets are not subsidized. This effectively robs both Blue Phone of its subsidies intended for French users, and in some cases, allows users in foreign markets to obtain unauthorized access to their local mobile networks without paying.

Comment: Past attempts to prevent grey exports using handset software have been circumvented by pirates within a few weeks.

Requirement: Because of the prevalence of subsidies in mobile phone business models, many phone companies are anxious that the new, expensive WAP and 3g handsets now being introduced be tied firmly to the home network. Handset vendors want to assure the phone companies that handsets will be difficult to reprogram. There is little concern about "knock-off" copies of phones, because of the scale economies required to achieve competitive prices and because of the regulatory environment. In order to make the phone secure, it must be made very costly to change a particular variable in the phone, (e.g. the identity of the home network). Typically achieved with secure, serialized, or system authenticated programmable devices, this solution is similar to another well-studied problem: software protection using dongles.

3. Software Copying

Green Mapping Company sells software to make maps from aerial photographs. Its customers are mostly local governments, buying the systems for \$20,000. Approximately half of the cost results from the hardware (scanners and plotters), while the remaining expense is the software. As the price of hardware decreases, Green Mapping has become increasingly anxious about piracy. The company wants to implement a high-quality hardware dongle that must be present for its software to run, which will eliminate security problems.

Comment: Many software companies used dongles in the early 1980s, after which they went out of fashion. They are reappearing in sectors with high-value products. In 1998, about a million dongles were sold worldwide at an average price of approximately \$20.

Requirement: A medium-quality dongle might contain a digital signature mechanism that the program would challenge from time to time. The main threat is that a pirate will patch out the calls to this mechanism. A better solution is to implement some important part of the program logic in the dongle, such as a digital filter or part of a rendering algorithm. After using this method, a successful attack would necessitate either copying the device completely or understanding its critical functionality.

4. Compatibility Control

Purple Games Incorporated sells a gaming console. Their plan for success employs a business model in which royalties from game sales and accessories subsidize the cost of the consoles. Purple Games has therefore implemented an authentication mechanism for its game cartridges using an FPGA. The company is very anxious to prevent third party vendors from copying its products, or reverse engineering it to the extent that they can make compatible cartridges. Purple Games' choice of attack involves utilizing the Digital Millennium Copyright Act (DMCA) very aggressively against unlicensed suppliers.

Comment: Several game companies have used FPGAs due to their fast time-to-market advantage.

Requirement: The requirement is for a tamper-resistant chip that combines copyright control and accessory control functions, while still being difficult to reverse engineer. Additionally, low design costs are necessary, as some accessory's retail prices are less than \$10.

5. Product Versioning

Tartan Scientific Instruments sells oscilloscopes and similar test equipment. In its business model, products are versioned according to the amount of high-speed RAM available; academics can buy an oscilloscope with 8Mb of RAM for \$4000, while the professional version has 64Mb of RAM and costs \$17,000. All products have the same hardware; the difference is that customers paying the professional price get a password that unlocks the extra memory. This mechanism has been defeated, and the password circulates on the Internet. In the future, Tartan wants to use an FPGA to better protect its next model.

Comment: Product versioning and price discrimination are now the fastest-growing application area for cryptography and related information security mechanisms.

Requirement: The FPGA will check the password and also perform some critical signal-processing role. Additionally, a mechanism whereby it encrypts access to the memory might also be an adequate solution.

6. Secure Reconfiguration

The NSA has been working with several companies to develop prototypes for secure reconfiguration of FPGAs. Rather than having an external device, such as a microcontroller manage the download of a bitstream, their goal is to have a "security kernel" in one of the chip contexts that can be utilized to authenticate the download of other contexts.

Comment: Several large consumer product companies have been investigating this issue.

Requirement: Given a suitably trustworthy download authentication mechanism, it should not be necessary for FPGA customers to develop their own. The NSA's stated requirement is that the bitstream comes from an authenticated source, that it should not be changed whether maliciously or inadvertently, and that its confidentiality should be protected.

7. Classified Cipher Chip

The NSA launched the Clipper chip in 1993, containing the then-classified block cipher Skipjack and a protocol mechanism whose goal was to ensure that the chip would not decipher any material unless its key had also been enciphered with a key known to the U.S. government. They implemented the design using an antifuse technology to ensure security.

Comment: The protocol turned out to be defective and the product was withdrawn, but later variants of it ("Capstone") are employed today.

Requirement: In this case, the requirement included keeping the Skipjack block cipher confidential (otherwise people could have built compatible equipment that did not give the government sole access to keys). This meant denying an attacker the ability to analyze the chip's operation by analyzing the power consumption or dropping a microprobe on the surface and observing the intermediate results of computations. Therefore, the chip was not a standard FPGA but was equipped with special noise generators and encased in a protective coating.

8. Trusted Configuration Management

Intel is leading a consortium, the Trusted Computing Platform Alliance (TCPA), whose goal is to furnish every PC with a monitor chip that will implement digital rights management. The monitor will be a secure hardware device that will supervise the PC's operation and certify to third parties that the hardware and software are trustworthy. In other words, they will not make unauthorized copies of the content available to third parties.

Comment: This is likely to be controversial. Attempts to introduce legislation to make such monitoring devices mandatory have met vigorous and principled resistance.

Requirement: The requirement calls for a tamper-resistant chip that is able to support the TCPA protocols (which include digital signature). The need will most likely be met by the smartcard vendor community for mass market products. It appears likely that mass standardization of security monitor chipsets will displace sales currently made via dongle vendors and for application protection.

9. Litigation Risk Avoidance

Orange Appliance Company is producing consumer appliances in professional audio processing, an area troubled with litigation. Orange Appliances wants to keep the signal processing algorithms it uses secret in order to avoid the costs of being sued by competitors, who might use valueless patents in order to impede the company.

Comment: The threat of vexatious litigation was the reason cited by IBM in the 1980s for no longer supplying source code for operating systems and is a reason cited by Microsoft today.

Requirement: The requirement is that the cost of reading out and understanding the bitstream should exceed the cost of successfully bringing a lawsuit, or part of a lawsuit, to compel its disclosure.

Actel and the Actel logo are registered trademarks of Actel Corporation.
All other trademarks are the property of their owners.



<http://www.actel.com>

Actel Europe Ltd.

Maxfli Court, Riverside Way
Camberley, Surrey GU15 3YL
United Kingdom

Tel: +44 (0)1276 401450

Fax: +44 (0)1276 401490

Actel Corporation

955 East Arques Avenue
Sunnyvale, California 94086
USA

Tel: (408) 739-1010

Fax: (408) 739-1540

Actel Asia-Pacific

EXOS Ebisu Bldg. 4F
1-24-14 Ebisu Shibuya-ku
Tokyo 150 Japan

Tel: +81 03-3445-7671

Fax: +81 03-3445-7668

5172064-0/8.02